App. F. IX-4

1928. (ஜனவரி)

File No. 35-28

Serial Nos. 1 – 9

Previous References

Proj 302 27-1-2

Later References

Ecl 38-29.1

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 9

Part B for May 1929

SUBJECT

Review petition of Sri Veeraraghavaswami temple Archak Guruvayachar of Devanhalli praying that the lands and house endowed to temple may be restored to him.

No 2624 dated 25.5.29 — To the petitioner.

The petitioner may be informed that so long as he is doing Archak work satisfactorily he is permitted to occupy house No 125

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc, not intended for permanent record.]

D. 133 Review petition from Archak Surayachar of Sri Veera Anjaneya Swamy Temple at Devanahalli with a copy thereof.

Prays that on a reconsideration of G.O No. 1944 dated 24-4-28, the said temple may be ordered its endowed lands and house may be ordered to be restored to him —

2 This is a review-petition which ought to be stamped according to Act and the petition may kindly be signed for issue

Ag 25/7/28.

25/7/28

3 Dm

25/7

N B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

From, Yadnahalli Gurayachar

Petition dt 28.7.28.

Re-Submits the petition duly stamped, and prays for a favourable order.



N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 35 of 1928

Serial No.

4 In G.O. no 1944-5 Dy 24.4.28.
The M.C's recommendation for
taking under Govt management
the temple of Sri Veera Anjaneya
Swamy temple built by the ancestors
of the late Mr Narayana Rao
at Devanahalli, and for treating
it as a Mucg. instn., was sanctd.
the M.C's expnt towards the
matters of securing the
of the temple, is awaited.
S Gurunayachar, Archak of
the temple has put in a cmcn.
petition and prays that on a re-
consideration of the foregoing
orders, the temple and its
properties may be restored to
his management. The grounds
for review are summarized in
the petition ——— The following
points seem to deserve consideration—
(1) The temple is their private
property belonging to their family
and has no devotees at the place
(2) That he has improved the
lands at a heavy outlay,
by digging wells etc. at a cost
of 500 or 600 Rs,

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4-28

General and Revenue Secretariat. File No. 31 of 192 5.

Serial No.

was not retaining sufficient water for cultivation, and the truth may be ascertained from an enquiry of the villagers.

(3) That the lands are a gift to his family (which is related to Mr Narayana Row), and the Patta thereof stands in the name of his ancestor one Venkatasharma charya, and that these Kaivaram lands are in the enjoyment of their family for 60 or 65 years past.

(4) That the house is his private property which he has constructed at a cost of Rs 1500/, that it is not mentioned in the bill of Mr Narayana Row, and that he should receive good his loss, if they take the house from him.

As regards this house, a perusal of the M.C's letter of 13.2.26, is solicited. The Merchant's objection in the matter, is referred to in para 2 of the (illegible)

7 The petr prays that the

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4-28

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 35 of 1928.

Serial No.

after hearing him and examining the documents in his possession the S.O. in question may be modified. — Orders solicited thereon. The review application may be admitted, and a report with records called for.

2 In the last para, the petr. complains that the Sheristar is taking steps to oust him from ~~his~~ the lands and the house, and prays that the execution of the S.C. may be stayed pending disposal of his application. Orders solicited in the matter of the stay order prayed for.

3 Gopamma daughter of late Narayana Rau whose name is mentioned in the will, has petitioned stating that the temples is their private property & the deity is their tutelary deity — that the temple is well kept up & is being superintended by them as stated in the will, and prays that Govt may not interfere —

NOTE—Contn Sheet.

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4 28

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 35 of 1928.

Serial No.

in its management.
Submitted for orders

31/7/28.

10 The parties have not been heard before. Mr Archbold says that he has got documents in his possession & other payments of Kandayam do. The parties may perhaps be prepared for the stage for a stay order is for consideration for Mention 1/8

11 Records may be called for and stay order issued

3.8.28

12

13 Office Submitted.

3.8.28.

14

2. 2o. mar Court No 278 of 4.8.28. forwards the petition & calls for the entire records & states that the exe of the C.O. resp to maybe stayed

3. Endt No 279 & 280 of 4.8.28 to 4 cases & Copy in uniform highness

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

OFFICE OF THE FIRST ASST. OF COUNCIL 2 AUG. 1928 No. 780

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4-28

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 192

Serial No. 4

From, the Inspector General
Letter no 225 dt 21/9/28
Submits the records connected with the case

15 The Dr reports that as the houses and the lands forming the various endowed properties have been leased out at advantageous terms, and as the parties concerned have been put in possession of the properties, the status quo will be permitted to prevail pending the disposal of the review petition if approved.

16 The records have been received. The Dr. reports that it is not possible to stay execution of his order—

17 The review-application may be posted for hearing with the next batch of cases

Submitted

18 Whether the Dept. or not

30.10.28 The Hon Minister has said any thing on the representations of the petitioners. The parties may perhaps be heard

1st Member

18 Dept does not say so definitely. He only says that as the parties have been put in possession of the properties, this will be continued if approved pending decision on review petition. The Dept. also states that the status quo is also a point for orders

WD 6/11

WD 6/11

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

2278 2/11

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4 28

19.+.28

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 192

Serial No.

20 Dupt to para 2 of Petn for
& who post this case for a suitable
date with notice

16/11

21 Dft to m.c. as ordered above,
is submtd. After issue, orders
for posting this case, may be
obtained. for a suitable date

15-11-28.

22 Done
16/11

23 Dft notices & the 16th post.
Submtd.

Case called – Parties not
present

21/1/29

25 notices Submtd

14.3.29.

26 Done
11/3

Notices served

27 Case called – Gurumayachan and
Gopamma present. The former submits
that he had fever on and was
unable to submit his case
and asked for it's being taken up
on some other date —

4/29

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4-28

Serial No.

6919/6/5

The case was heard on 6th April 1929.

The Petitioners Gururayachar and Gopamma were present and the latter was represented by her lawyer Mr. G.Vasudeva Rao.

O r d e r.

Gururayachar's contention that house No.128 in Devanhalli now occupied by him is his own property, is untenable and this is evident from his own statement dated 15th February 1922 before the Amildar and from the Donor D. Narayana Rao's application dated 27th June 1918 praying for the management by Government of the Sri Veera Anjaneyaswami Temple and all the properties endowed to it by him and also from the statement dated 16th January 1919 made by Narayana Rao before the Amildar. When these facts were pointed out to him Gururayachar represented that he had no objection to the khate of the house being made out in the name of the temple but that he may not be evicted from the house. All the six properties mentioned in the P.O. dated 24th April 1928 belong to the temple and there is no reason to re-consider the said order. Gururayachar is now the Archak of the temple and is reported to be residing in house No. 128. He may be permitted to occupy the house as the Archak of the temple so long as he is its Archak and so long also as his services as Archak are satisfactory.

6/5/29

WD 021—GPB—30,000—21-8-28

General and Revenue Secretariat. File No. 35 of 1928.

Serial No.

29 Dft. order submitted.
AG
13.5.29.

30 Done
MB
16/5

LWM
14/5
orders issued
(No 2531 D/18-5-29)

31 Petitions (1753 & 1754) from Gopamma and Sunnarayachar praying for orders in the case.
Member's order on the petitions.—
"Please refer to petn to orders already passed"
Dft. endt. submitted.
AG
20.5.29

32 Done
MB
21/5

5 Do. of Collr
No 2531/D/18 dt 29
from order of the Govt to Collr

8

B
LWM
6/6

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4-28

17/28 44

23 JUL 1928
No. M. 33.

ಹಜರತ್ ಮೈಸೂರುಬಂದೆನವ್ಕಾನ್ಸಿಲಿಷಾಪ್ಕಿಬ್ಬರವರ ಡೈರಿಯಾದ
ಜನಾಬಿ.

ಗೋಲ್ಡ್

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್ ದೇವನಹಳ್ಳಿತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಕಸಬಾ ದೇವನಹಳ್ಳಿ ಪೇಯಲ್ಲಾರುವ ಶ್ರೀ ವಿರಾಂಜನೇಯಂ ದೇವರ ಅರ್ಚಕಯದ್ದರುಳ್ಳ
ಗುರಾಯಂ ಬಾರಬರಿದು ಕೊಂಡೆರಿಟ್ಟಅರ್ಜಿ.

ನಾನು ಈದೇವನಹಳ್ಳಿ ಪವನೆಕೋಪೆಂಯಲ್ಲಾರುವ ಶ್ರೀ ವಿರಾಂಜನೇಯಂ ಸ್ವಾಮಿಯ ಅರ್ಚನೆಯಂ ನ್ಯಾಯಸ್ಥಾನ ಅನೇಕವರ್ಷಗಳಿಂದಲೂ ನಡುತ್ತಾಯಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಈ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನಕ್ಕೆ ಪಡಿತರದೀಪಾರಾಧನೆ ಬಹುಪುರ್ವಗಳಿಗಾಗಿ ಈದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದಆಯಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಕ್ರಾಂದಗತಿನಿದನಾರಾಯಣಾರಾಯರದತ್ತು ತಂದೆ ಕೃಷ್ಣರಾಯರು ದಾನಪಾತ್ರಾಗಿ ಕೊಂಟ್ಟರುವ ಇಷ್ಟಕ್ಕೆತ್ತರೂಪಾಯಿ ಆಕಾರವುಳ್ಳ ಬಿಂದುಕೆರಿ ಜಮೀನು, ಎರಡೂವರೆರೂಪಾಯಿ ಆಕಾರವುಳ್ಳ ಬಿಂದು ಖುಷ್ಕಿ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ಅನುಭವಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಇದರಲ್ಲಾಬರುವತ್ತಿಪ್ಪತ್ತು ಗುವಜು ಬಿಬಿ ಪಿಡಿಗಳು ದೇವರನ್ನೇವೇದ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಮತ್ತು ಇತರ ಪೂಜಾ ಕಾರ್ಯಗಳಿಗೆ ಉಪಯೋಗಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಇರುಗಳ್ಳರಂದಾಯನಿಮಾ ನಾನೇ ನಿರ್ವಾಹಕ್ಕೆ ಕೊಂಟ್ಟು ಬಾಯುಂಪಾಗುವಳಿದಾರನಾಗಿ ಅನುಭವಿಸುತ್ತಾ ವುತ್ತಾರೆ ವರವೂನನ್ನೆಂತಿಲ್ಲದೆ ಹೀಗಲು ರಾತ್ರಿ ದೇವರಪ್ಪಾಜೆ ವೂಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಯೂವಪ್ಪಂತಿಗೂ ಅವರಾಶವಿಲ್ಲದೆ, ದೇವರ ಕೆಲಸನಡೆಯಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಬರುತ್ತಾಯಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ನನಗಿನದರಿಶ್ಚಿಳಿದಲ್ಲಿ ಪಾನವ್ಯಾಗಿಬಂದಿರುವ ಪೂಲಿನಿಶ್ಚಿವೇದ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಪತ್ತನನ್ನಕ್ಕಂತೆ ಹೂಂಗೂರಿನಿಂದಲ್ಲೂ, ಹುಲೂರು ಬಿಂದು ಪಾವಿರದ ಪಿಡಿಗೂರುರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳ್ಳವಳಿವಿಗೂ ಲಾಂಗೂತ್ತರುಯಿಲ್ಲದೆ ಈ ನವಿಗ್ರಹಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಹಂಪಾಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಲಾಂಯು. ಪಾಂಗಿರಲಿಪೂಂಕೆ ವುಂಗಳೂ ಶುಂಪಿಂಚಿಗವುನಿಕಟ್ಟಿಕೊಂಡು ಅಲ್ಲೂ ಪಾನವಾಂಗಿದ್ದೇನೆ ಇಂದಾದ್ದರ್ಶನದರಿಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿಕೆಲವರ ಪ್ರೆಂತು ಜಿನಗಳ ದೇವರೇ ವಿನ್ನಿಲಿಂದನನ್ನ ಮೇಲೆ ದ್ವೇಷದಿಂದ ನಡತಿ ನಾರಾಯಣಾರಾಯರ ಕುಟುಂಬಿಯೋಳ್ಳ ನಾಬಾಯಿಂದ ಕುತ್ತು ಈಕೆಯಂ ಮಗಳ ಮಗಳಾದ ಕುಟುಂಬರಾಯಂ ಎಂಬುವನಿಂದ ತೆರಳಿ ವಿರ್ವಾದುವಾಡಿ ನಿದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈದೇವಸ್ಥಾನಳ್ಳ ನಿರ್ವಾಹರದಲ್ಲಿ ಕ್ಕೆ ಜೆಯೆಂಟಿ ನಿತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಳ್ಳಬೇಕೆಂಬುದಾಗಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂಚಿತಿಂದ 24-4-1944 ನೆಯ ಮಳ್ಳಂ ಮುಜರಾಯಿಂ 1944-ನೆಯಂಬರ್ ಆರ್ಡರು ಆಗಿರುತ್ತೆ ಈವಿಚಾರವನ್ನು ಹನ್ನಿದ್ದಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಜಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿವುಂಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ನಿಂದರಿ:-

ನಡತಿ ನಾರಾಯಣಾರಾಯರ ನಾವ್ಯಬಿಂದ್ರುಗಳ್ಳಾಗಿ ಇರುತ್ತೇವೆ. ಇತ್ತೀಚೆಗೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಇವರ ದರ್ಜಾದಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವರಾರ್ಯಗಳ್ಳನನ್ನೆ ಕೆಲವಿಂದರಲ್ಲಿ ಇಷ್ಟದಿಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ನನಗಿ ಬೆಟ್ಟಿಲ್ಲದೆ ಜಮೀನುದಾರನಾಗಿ ಕೊಂಡಿರುವುದಾಗಿ ವಿಚಾರಣೆ ಆಗಿದ್ದವಿಚ್ಛೇದನದಲ್ಲಿ, ನಾರಾಯಣಾ

రాంతర కుటుంబ పాలనాధికారులు, ఇతరులు వ్యవసాయ
ఉద్యోగులు, నవగ్రహాధ్యక్షులు వీరందరి తరపున అడిగిన
ప్రశ్నలకు సమాధానం చెప్పాలి.

2. దేవతలకోవెలకంటే అభయాంజనేయస్వామి విషయంలో నాకు శ్రద్ధ ఎందుకు అంటే
కపర్ధగణపతి దర్శనం చేసుకోవడానికి అవకాశం ఎల్లప్పుడూ కలుగుతుంది.

3 మేల్లంతడి మానుగళ్ళు బ్రాహ్మణులు పాలించే రాజ్యంలో
పుట్టినందువల్ల దేవతలకన్నా బ్రాహ్మణులే ఉన్నతులని భావించే
విద్వాంసులతో నాకు వివాదం ఉన్నది. దేవతలకు నమస్కారం
మాత్రమే చేసి ఇటు కేసి రండి అని బ్రాహ్మణులు పిలిచినా,
మానసిక ఆధ్యాత్మిక ఉద్ధరణ కోసం వారి దగ్గరకు వెళ్ళినా,
భయపడినా, అబద్ధం ఆడలేక, దేవతలకు నమస్కారం చేసి
వెంటనే వారి దగ్గరకు వెళ్ళి మాట్లాడుతుంటాను.

4 ఈ దేవతలకి ఆకర్షణలు ఇతరులు చేసుకోవడం భక్తులకు లేదు. దేవాలయ
నిర్వహణ కర్తవ్యం, ఇల్లు.

5 ఈ తరహా జీవితం గడిపినందువల్ల ఆధ్యాత్మవాదం తరిచి చూస్తే, చుట్టూరా
ప్రపంచంలో గాంధీజీ, బుద్ధుడు వంటి వారు కూడా కుటుంబ బాధ్యతలు
నిర్వర్తించినట్లు నన్నర్థం చేసుకోవడానికి ప్రయత్నించాను. ఆ
ప్రయత్నంలో రచనా వ్యాసంగం ఇబ్బంది పెట్టినా, ఈ జీవనం బాధ్యతలని
భావించడానికి ప్రయత్నిస్తున్నాను. సంసారంలో ఉన్నవారి ఆలోచనలు,
ఆచరణ, వీరాంబుధిలోని దళాల నిజాంతర్ధానమయిన తరువాత
వేధిస్తూనే ఉన్నాయి.

6 ఈ జీవితంలో ఎన్నో దానమాని బంధువర్గానికి ఎన్నో సహాయాలు
అందించినప్పటికీ ఇతరులు మనకు అవసరం
అవసరాలకు వారు వర్గం నుంచి అలభ్యమని, ఇతరులకోసం నా నోరు వాకిలి
దగ్గరుంచుకోవడం అవిచ్ఛిన్నంగా అలభ్యమని తెలుసుకోవడం
లాంటిదని వ్యాఖ్యానించడానికి జీవితం గురించి ఆలోచించడానికి
ఈ జీవితాలు వర్గం నుంచి ఇష్టాయిష్టాలతో పాటు కాలగతిని
వ్యవేశాలు తీసుకోవలసి వస్తుందని అంశాలను చేర్చుకోవాలని
తలుస్తున్నాను.

7. ఒకానొక వివాదమునకు సంబంధించి వారిద్దరూ వాదించుకుంటుంటే
నేనక్కడ ఉన్నాను కనుక వారిద్దరి నుంచి నాకు ఎదురైన
ఈ గణపతి వ్యాఖ్యానం ఎంతగానో తోడ్పడుతుంది అన్నది
ప్రస్ఫుటపరచాలి.

8 మేల్లంతడి గోత్రం అంటూ ఆకర్షణలు నాకు సంబంధం లేదు
కాబట్టి మేల్లంతడి వ్యాఖ్యానాన్ని వదలివేయవలసిన అవసరం
వ్యాఖ్యానం ఎంతగానో తోడ్పడుతుంది అన్నది
ప్రస్ఫుటపరచాలి. 1917 లో వివాహం అయింది. 1920 లో
రాజీనామా చేసాను. ఈ మధ్యలో 1919 లో ఇతర అడ్డంకులు
లేకుండా ఒక వీలు కుదుర్చుకోవడం, నంబర్ చేయడం,

62

ఇవరకు ముద్రించిన కొంప్రైశలూరరో ఇవరో మొంయిలిఇద్దనబూబు
ఇవరకిందరిందవుక్కొకాదరూం కొంప్రైరబరుదు. ఇవర అభి
ప్రాయంవల్ల హాగ్గోకాదరూం ఇదల్లి 1920 వర్ష ఇవరు రాజీన్యరో
శుండినిదాంగే నదరివిల్ల నల్లు ఈవనే విషయం నమూదువుండ
బరుచాంగిక్కి. ఈరునే నన్న నేంక మాదద్దు అవర అభిప్రా
యవు విద్దద్దరింద. ఈవిషయం నమూందే మాండిరో వుదిల్ల.

9 మొందరో ఈ అంశగళల్లా ప్రేరపిక్కిక్కే కేంద్రనాగే ఒందో
రిప్రాంగా కాంగ్రెసు అవ్వనో ఎంబుంగా నన్నకేళిమోయిందలూ
నన్నల్లిరక్కే చాబటే వహాంచురిరోయిందలూ మోల్నంతోగిర్ద
కొంగ్రెసు ఆరెడ్రు ప్రేరపిసువుదే అ గురవరవిగూం, నిదరిఆక్ష
ర అరుంనక్కాంయిరువంతేంబుం, నిదరిక్కే గర్భునన్నిందక
క్కే కెప్పిసిదేయిరోవంతేంబుం అరుద్దారరినేరుంబుగం అగ
చోదే. ఆ వళిగాల, జివింగ్రనాంగళళ్లి అంబుంబంధ
పొంగరుక్కే. ఆద్దరింద నదరి జివింగ్రనాంగళ్లవన్న కేళ్కెకెప్పిసిదే
ఇరువంతేంబుం శోధదాక్షరసునే కంటెగే ఓరదంతేంబుం
కెంయ్యాదే అవు అల్లిగిరుంబుదు. అవ్వనో ఎంబుంగా చోదే
బరవగ అరుంతక్కే ప్రేరపాంచిక్కిక్కే కేంద్రజాగ్రతెరుంబుదు
అవ్వనోంబుంగా చోదే ఇవ్రాబ్రిక్కిక్కోసుంచుకొంట్టిదే.

ఆజ్ఞాపరు మైసూరు రాజ్యపత్రాలయ

22-7-28

2222
Mysore

అంకిత పత్ర దినాంక 26-7-28.

రూ ఆజ్ఞా ప్రకార ఎమ్మరడి అరసరు అంకితపత్రగళన్న
కళిసిరువుదన్ను రుజువు, ఆ పత్రగళు ఎంద నిరీక్షణీయ
వాగిరువుదు ఎంబుదన్ను తిళిసలు సూచిసలాగిదే.

By order,
[illegible]
for Secretary,
Jail Dept.

Bangalore,
25th July 1928

62

Petition Box

MUZRAI

5 JULY 1928
No M.39.


TWO RUP

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

2065

Section Mcg

File No. 35-28

Serial No. 2

Diary, No. of letter replied to.. .....

No. 278 Rc 2 pcls

mailed

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To The Asst. Govt

Date of issue 2. 8. 28

Sir,

Signed by.

Adverting to G.O. No. 1944-5 Mucg. 302-27-2 dt 24.4.28 Sanctioning your recommendation for taking over under Govt management, The temple of Sri Veera Anjaneya Swamy built by the ancestors of the late Mr Narayana Rao at Tumakuru, I am directed to forward herewith for Govt. Orders

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

WD 0326—GPB—30,000—26-5-27

The orginally noted applications
from the temple Archak Gururajachar
and daughter of Mr. Narayana Rao
praying for a re-consideration
of the foregoing order
and to request that
the entire records connected
with the case, may be
perused at a very early date.
I am to add that
the execution of the
G.O. sought to be reviewed
may be stayed, pending
disposal of the Review
applications.

I have etc.

Sd/- M.C. Setty.

S. P. F. VI. G1J

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

2965

Section [illegible]

File No. [illegible]

Serial No. 3

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

No.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To .....

Date of issue [illegible]

Signed by [illegible]

[illegible]

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

[illegible]

over

WD 0325—GPB—37,000—26 5-27

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore.....26th..September.....192 8.

27

No. 925 & File 28-9

FROM

P. G. D'Souza, Esq., P.A., P.L.,
The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

R. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., P.A., P.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.278/Muz.25-28-2 dated the 4th August 1928, forwarding for reference and resubmission with the connected records, applications from Archak Gururayachar and Gopamma praying for a reconsideration of the Government Order No.1944-5/Muz. 302-27-2 dated the 24th April 1928, sanctioning the taking the temple of Sri Veera Anjaneyaswami built by the ancestors of the late Mr. Narayana Rao at Devanahally with its endowments under Government management and directing that the execution of the Government Order may be stayed pending disposal of the review application, I have the honor to forward herewith the records received with Deputy Commissioners letter No.C.3-697/28-29 dated 19th September 1928.

2. As regards the stay of execution of the Government Order, I beg to invite kind reference to the Amildar's report dated 23rd August 1928 enclosed in the records stating that in modification of the orders already issued on the authority of the Government Order, he has directed the Shekdar to stay further action and soliciting instructions as to whether lease of lands and the house, transactions in respect of which have been already concluded, may be cancelled and the properties restored to the previous ಕಬ್ಜಾ ದಾರರು and whether the current years gutta amount paid by the auction-purchasers and credited to Muzrai funds may be refunded to them. The Deputy Commissioner's letter is silent on this point. As the houses and the lands have

have been leased out at advantageous terms and as the parties concerned have also been put in possession of the properties, the status quo will be permitted to prevail, pending the disposal of the review - petition, if approved.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

eH.

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Mercy

File No. 35 - 28--

Serial No. 1

No. 424

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To The Hon'ble

Sir,

Adverting to para 2 your letter no. 225 dt 27-9-1928 proposing that as the houses and the lands forming the endowed properties of Sri Veera Anjaneya swamy temple built by the ancestors of the late Mr. Narayana Rau at Devanahalli have been leased out at advantageous terms, over and as the

Date of issue....

Signed by.....

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

WD0325—GPB—30 000—26-5-27

concerned have also been put in possession of the properties, the status quo will be permitted to prevail pending the disposal by Govt. of the review-petition presented by Gopamma and Archaka Sundarayacharlu for a re-consideration of the G.O. directing the assumption of the management of the said temple, I am directed to convey approval to the above proposal.

(2) The records are returned herewith.

I have etc.

Sd/- 16/11

Asst. Secy

Before the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Mus. Review ~~Appeal~~ No. 8 of 1928-9.

Appellant. *Vs.* Respondent

(1) Puchala Sunnayachar,
(2) Gopamma

Subject.

Review petitions praying ~~that~~ on a re-consideration of G.O. no. 1944-5/Mis. 302-27-2 dy 24.4.28, the temple of Sri Veera Anjaneya Swamy built by the ancestors of the late Mr. Narayana Rao as Devanahalli, and its endowed properties, may be restored to ~~their~~ possession.

GPB—1,000—8-8-11

17/5

April 1929 - The pets.
Sunnayachar and Sopanna
were present, and the
latter was represented
by her Lawyer Mr. G.
Vasudeva Rao;
copy member's minutes (page 5)
From "Sunnayachar's
contention" to ... "are
Satisfactory".

Memo

Encl 35 - 28 - 5 -

Copy to the M.C.
with the record received with
his letter No. 225 of 29.9.28

B.O.

D(2) L2 Section

Before the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Muzrai Review ~~Appeal~~ No 8 of 1928-29

Appellant | Respondent

(1) Archak Gururayachar.

(2) Gopamma.

Subject

Review-petitions praying that on a reconsideration of Government Order No. 1944-5/Muz.302-27-2 dated 24th April 1928 the ~~temple~~ of Sri Veeranjaneyaswamy built by the ancestors of the late Mr. Narayana Rao ~~and~~ at Devanhalli and its endowed properties, may be restored to their possession.

O r d e r .

This case was heard on 6th April 1929. The petitioners Gururayachar and Gopamma were present and the latter was represented by her Lawyer Mr. G. Vasudeva Rao.

Gururayachar's contention that house No. 128 in Devanhalli now occupied by him is his own property, is untenable and this is evident from his own statement dated 15th February 1922 before the Amildar and from the Donor D. Narayana Rao's application dated 27th June 1918 praying for the management by Government of the Sri Veera Anjaneyaswami temple and all the properties endowed to it by him and also from the statement dated 16th January 1919 made by Narayana Rao before the Amildar. When these facts were pointed out to him, Gururayachar represented that he had no objection to the Khate of the house being made out in the name of

GPB—1,000—S 8-11

the temple but that he may not be evicted from the house. All the six properties mentioned in the Government order dated 24th April 1928 belong to the temple and there is no reason to re-consider the said order. Gururayachar is now the Archak of the temple and is reported to be residing in house No. 128. He may be permitted to occupy the house as the Archak of the temple so long as he is its Archak and so long also as his services as Archak are satisfactory.

***

Memo No. dated, Bangalore, the 17th May 1929.

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore with the records received with his letter No. 285 dated 27th September 1928.

By order,

for Secretary to Government,
Financial Department.

Case referred to - Orders already passed. Mmk 15/5/25

M.4-4 1575

REVENUE DEPT. 16 MAY 1925 MUZRAI D 1753

Reg 35-28-6.

[illegible]

[illegible]

172 [illegible] 24 [illegible]
1944-5 Aug [illegible] 27 [illegible]
[illegible] 1/06 [illegible]
[illegible] 1906 [illegible]
[illegible] 40 [illegible]
[illegible]

Mmm - Please - a Pz
15/5/29 ourth about 11

1754 -

Incl 35 on 28-7.

G—1

SUBJECT..... .....

.....

.....

Section M

File No. 3

Serial No. 8

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

No.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

To

the *192*

....

Date of issue. .....

Signed by .....

st of enclosures... .....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

162 28.5.29
133 22.5.29
145
753 12.5.29

WD 0399—GPB—20,000—18-9-28